

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).4075/2004

(From the judgement and order dated 17/05/2004 in Criminal Misc. (M) N O.

4153/2003 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHILPI SHAKT

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for intervention,stay,impleadment and office report)

(for final disposal)

Date: 19/04/2007 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K.THAKKER

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. B.B.Singh, Adv.

Mr. Saket Singh, Adv. for

Mrs. Anil Katiyar,Adv.

For Impleading Party

In-Person

For Respondent(s)

Mr. R.K.Anand, Sr. Adv.

Mr. S.P. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sri Bhagwan Sharma, Adv.

For Intervenors

Mr. Arun Kumar Beriwal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The Appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

Since, we have remanded the case to the High Court, no orders are required to be passed on the applications for intervention and impleadment and they would be at liberty to make appropriate application before the High Court.

(Parveen Kr. Chawla)

(Kanwal Singh)

Court Master

Court Master

[Signed Order is placed on the File]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.598 OF 2007

(@ SLP(CRL.)NO.4075 OF 2004)

State (NCT of Delhi)

....Appellant

Versus

Smt. Shilpi Shakt

....Respondent

O R D E R

Leave granted.

Counsel for the respondent is unable to defend the impugned order and prays that the same be set aside and the case be remitted to the High Court for a fresh order in accordance with law leaving all contentions open to the parties.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the respondent, the impugned order is set aside and the case is remitted to the High Court for a fresh decision in accordance with law. All contentions are left open.

We would request the Hon'ble the Chief Justice to place the matter before a Judge other than the one who passed the impugned order within two weeks, if possible so that the same can be disposed of expeditiously, as this matter is an old one and is pending for the last ten years.

contd....2/-

::2::

Since, we have remanded the case to the High Court, no orders are required to be passed on the applications for intervention and impleadment and they would be at liberty to make appropriate application before the High Court.

The Appeal is allowed in the above terms.

.....J.
(ASHOK BHAN)

.....J.
(C.K. THAKKER)

NEW DELHI;

APRIL 19, 2007.