

x#

C.A.No. 396-397 OF 1999

.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....

ITEM NO. 104 COURT NO. 5 SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 396-397/1999

Ram Raksha Panday & Anr. .. Appellant (s)

Vs.

Pratima Singh & Ors. .. Respondent(s)
(With Office Report)

DATE : 31.10.2001 : This/These matter (s) was/were
called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU

For Appellant (s) : Mr. Vijay Hansaria, Adv.
0 0 0 Mr. Sunil Jain, Adv. for 0
M/s Jain Hansaria & co.
For Respondent (s) :

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

The appeals are disposed of in terms of the
signed order.

.SP1

Charanjit

[Om Prakash]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

.....L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 396-397/1999@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Ram Raksha Panday & Anr. .. Appellants

Vs.

Pratima Singh & Ors. .. Respondents

O R D E R@@
AAAAAAAAA

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

The first respondent filed a writ petition for a direction that she be appointed in Chandra Kanti Ramavati Devi Arya Mahavidyalaya, Gorakpur on the ground that though she had been selected to the post of Principal, she has not been allowed to join the same.

The High Court allowed the writ petition and directed that the appellants and other respondents in the writ petition to allow the first respondent to join the post of Principal in the appellants' institution and take necessary steps in that regard within a specified time. During the pendency of the writ petition, the Government instructed the Director, Higher Education, Uttar Pradesh to ensure that the first respondent be appointed against any vacancy in any college except that of the appellants'. In view of this letter, we do not think the direction issued by the High Court need be implemented.
been

It looks to us that she must have/employed in some other ..2/-

- 2 -

establishment inasmuch as she has not appeared before this Court inspite of service of notice upon her.

In the circumstances, we dispose of this matter stating that the direction issued by the High Court has become infructuous. The appeals shall stand disposed of accordingly.

.SP1

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[S. RAJENDRA BABU]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[DORAISWAMY RAJU]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

New Delhi,@@
AAAAAAAAAA
October 31, 2001 @@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA