

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Review Petition (Crl.) No. 1152/2000 in Crl.A.No. 1194/1995

R.S. BUDHWAR

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

( With Appln(s). for c/delay & office report )

Date : 22/11/2000 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.B. PATTANAIAK

( By Circulation )

UPON perusing the papers, the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

The review petition is dismissed both on the
ground of inordinate delay and on merits.

.SP1
.....L.....T.....J

(Ajay Kr. Jain)
Court Master

(Prem Prakash)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

.PA
.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.PL55

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CRL.) 1152 OF 2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1194 OF 1995@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Ex. Major R.S. Budhwar

...Appellant

VERSUS

O R D E R@@  
CCCCCCCC

.....L....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T....J  
.SP2

There is an inordinate delay of 1574 days in filing this review petition. We find that in the prayer clause of the application seeking condonation of delay, date of judgment under review has been wrongly mentioned. Even if that is considered only as a typographical error, we find that there is no explanation, muchless a reasonable or satisfactory one, for seeking condonation of delay. The review petition is, accordingly, liable to be dismissed on this ground alone.

Even otherwise, we have gone through the review petition and the connected records. We do not find any merit in the same. The review petition is, therefore, dismissed both on the ground of inordinate delay and on merits.

.SP1

.....CJI.

.....J.  
(G.B. PATTANAİK)

New Delhi  
November 22, 2000.

.PA