

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 99 OF 2000

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

RAM NIWAS

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.,bail and  
office report)

Date: 27/10/2005 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Madhurima Tatia, Adv.

Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta,Addl.Adv.Gen.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Pankaj Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh verma, Adv.

Mr. K.L. Janjani,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Appeal fails and the same is dismissed.

The respondent, who was directed to be taken into custody as  
he did

not appear before this Court, by virtue of order passed on 26.7.2005, is directed  
to

be released forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case.

[ Charanjeet Kaur ]

[ Om Prakash ]

Court Master

Court Master

[ Signed order is placed on the file ]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 99 OF 2000

State of Rajasthan

.. Appellant(s)

Versus

Ram Niwas

.. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

We do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order of acquittal as the same cannot be said to be perverse in any manner.

Accordingly, appeal fails and the same is dismissed.

The respondent, who was directed to be taken into custody as he did not appear before this Court, by virtue of order passed on 26.7.2005, is directed to be released forthwith, if not required in connection with any other case.

WAL ]

.....J[ B.N. AGRA

ATHUR ]

.....J [ A.K. M

NEW DELHI,

OCTOBER 27,2005.

