

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 589 OF 2009

INDERJIT KAUR Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PARVINDER SINGH Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay)

Date: 04/01/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.S. SIRPURKAR  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Sunita Bhardwaj, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr Satish Chand Gupta, Adv. for  
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The Transfer Petition is allowed in terms of signed  
order.

(Pardeep Kumar) (Shashi Bala Vij)  
Court Master Court Master

[ SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE ]  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO. 589 OF 2009

INDERJIT KAUR ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

PARVINDER SINGH ...RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. This transfer petition has been filed by the petitioner-  
wife seeking transfer of H.M.A. No. 108 of 2009, titled Parvinder  
Singh Vs. Inderjit Kaur from the Court of learned Additional

District & Sessions Judge (Adhoc), Fast Track Court, Patiala, Punjab to the Tis Hazari Courts at Delhi.

2. We have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and gone through the petition.

3. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case and looking to the convenience of the wife, we deem it proper to transfer H.M.A. No. 108 of 2009, titled Parvinder Singh Vs. Inderjit Kaur from the Court of learned Additional District & Sessions Judge (Adhoc), Fast Track Court, Patiala, Punjab to the court of District Judge, Tis Hazari Courts at Delhi who may hear the petition either himself or assign the same to a court of

: 2 :

competent jurisdiction. Ordered accordingly.

4. The Transfer Petition is allowed accordingly.

.....J.  
[ V.S. SIRPURKAR ]

.....J.  
[Dr. MUKUNDAKAM SHARMA ]

NEW DELHI  
JANUARY 4, 2010.