

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 587 OF 2000

K. CHINNUSAMY

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

MANI AND ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 23/03/2006 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. MATHUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Senthil Jagdeesan, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, Sr. Adv.

No. 1

Mr. V. Ramasubramanian, Adv.

Mr. P.R. Kovilan, Adv.

No. 2

Mr. Abhay Kumr, Adv.

Mr. Subramonium Prasad ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Appeal fails and the same is dismissed. Respondent No. 1, who is

on

bail, is discharged from the liability of bail bonds.

[Charanjeet Kaur]

Court Master

[Om Prakash]

Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 587 OF 2000

K Chinnusamy

.. Appellant(s)

Versus

Mani And Anr.

.. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Respondent No. 1 was convicted by the trial Court but on appeal being preferred, the High Court acquitted him of the charges.

Hence, this appeal by special leave.

Mr. Senthil Jagdeesan, learned counsel appearing for the appellant in support of the appeal very ably and fairly placed the entire material for our perusal. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and given our anxious consideration to the entire matter, we are of the view that it is not possible to interfere in exercise of powers under Article 136 of the

..2/-

Constitution of India with the impugned order of acquittal which cannot be said to be perverse in any manner.

Accordingly, appeal fails and the same is dismissed.

Respondent No. 1, who is on bail, is discharged from the liability of bail bonds.

.....J

[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J

[A.K. MATHUR]

NEW DELHI,

MARCH 23, 2006.