

° IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO.3875 OF 2007
M/S. J.J.SPECTRUM SILK LTD.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SUDHANSHU SEKHAR PATRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant submits that the land is not required as the J.J. Spectrum is not functioning as on today and proceedings are pending before the Board for Industrial and Financial Reconstruction (BIFR). As the question is of academic importance as on today, in case, it is revived and starts re-functioning, the appellant be given liberty to revive the appeal.

In view of the subsequent developments, the matter has become infructuous.

The appeal is dismissed as infructuous with liberty to revive it, if it becomes necessary.

.....J.

(ARUN MISHRA)

.....J.

(S.ABDUL NAZEER)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 29, 2017

ITEM NO.101 COURT NO.12 SECTION XVI
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s).3875/2007

M/S. J.J.SPECTRUM SILK LTD.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SUDHANSHU SEKHAR PATRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 29/03/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. ABDUL NAZEER

For Appellant(s) Mr. P.N. Mishra, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Amit Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Pranab Kumar Mullick, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Gourab Banerji, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Sahil Tagotra, Adv.

Mr. Manisha Singh, Adv.

Mr. Parijat Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Satish Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.

Mr. Raja Chatterjee, Adv.

Mr. Runa Bhuyan, Adv.

Mr. Soumitra G. Chaudhuri, Adv.

Mr. Chanchal K. Ganguli, Adv.

Ms. Narmada, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed as infructuous in terms of the signed order.

(B.Parvathi)

Court Master (Tapan Kr. Chakraborty)

Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)q