

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGSPETITION(S) FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (C) NO(S). 11436/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28-01-2026 in SAD No. 1153/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

STATE BANK OF INDIA &amp; ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PRATYUSH PRAKASH SINGH

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

Date : 10-04-2026 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. ANJARIA

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Neeraj Kishan Kaul, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Kapur, AOR  
Mr. Surya Prakash, Adv.  
Ms. Shubhra Kapur, Adv.  
Ms. Santha Smruthi, Adv.  
Mr. Anuraj Mishra, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Ashok Mishra, Adv.  
Ms. Sudha Mishra, Adv.  
Ms. Kumari Rashmi Rani, Adv.  
Ms. Rashi Jaiswal, Adv.  
Mr. Shri Bhagwan, Adv.  
Mr. Jai Subhash Thakur, Adv.  
Mr. Mohd. Sakir, Adv.  
Mr. Narender Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Vikash Goswami, Adv.  
Mr. Ashish Pandey, AOR  
Mr. Ali Mohammed Khan, Adv.

Mr. Vijay Kumar Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Akhilesh Tiwari, Adv.  
Mr. Prince Chauhan, Adv.  
Mr. Himanshu Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Rahul Shrivastava, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsels, the Court made the following

**O R D E R**

1. The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the respondent used unfair means of impersonation/ fraud to be successful in the recruitment examination for a post notified by the petitioner. After a complaint was received, based on internal inquiry, the petitioner revoked the invitation to join. The revocation was challenged before the learned Single Judge of the High Court. The learned Single Judge found the revocation bad for violation of principles of natural justice and, therefore, after making certain observations, which were unwarranted, issued direction to the petitioner to allow the respondent to join and, thereafter, hold enquiry. This order was challenged in an intra court appeal before a division bench of the High Court. The Division Bench dismissed the appeal though certain observations were expunged. It is argued, that since the respondent is not part of the establishment, the direction that he be allowed to join and, thereafter, enquiry be held is unwarranted. Therefore, even if principles of natural justice are to be complied, the same can be done without allowing respondent to join service.

2. Issue notice, returnable in four weeks.

3. Notice on behalf of the respondent has been accepted by Sri Vijay Kumar Mishra, Advocate.

4. In the meantime, the petitioner may hold inquiry in terms directed by the High Court, but the respondent shall not be allowed to work/join/draw salary from the Bank till further orders or till he is exonerated in the enquiry, whichever is earlier. The respondent shall co-operate in the inquiry.

(KAVITA PAHUJA)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SAPNA BANSAL)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)