

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).9215-9223/2009

MAHESH CHAND GARG ETC.ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 13923-13926 of 2009

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 14370 of 2009

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 14492 of 2009

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 15637 of 2009

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 16380-16381 of 2009

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 17223 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief)

SLP(C) NO. 17423 of 2009

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 17431 of 2009

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 19066 of 2009

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 20 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 21002 of 2009

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 25493 of 2009

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 26665 of 2009

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 3407 of 2010

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 5985 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 689-691 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 947 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 9703-9704 of 2009

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 12/08/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Chauhan

Mr. Pramod Dayal

Ms. Sandhya Goswami

Mr. K.S. Rana

Mr. Gopal Prasad

Mr. Sanjay Jain

Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra

Mr. Rajesh Goyal

Ms. Garima Prashad

Ms. Manjusha Wadhwa

Ms. Neha Goyal, Adv.

Mr. M.P.S. Tomar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that in spite of several proceedings notice could not be served on the respondents and in almost all the cases some of respondents are served, and some remain unserved, resulting in delay of final hearing. All the Counsel requested that steps may be taken to ensure that service is completed at the earliest.

It is seen that in most of the cases service is partly completed. State has appeared in some of the cases. It is reported by all the counsels that parties are common in most of the cases.

In the above circumstances, the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner in each of the SLPs are permitted to serve notice on the Standing Counsel of U.P. and also Standing Counsel for the Noida Authority, if not already served with notice in the respective cases. Further, in each of the case, if any of the unserved private respondent has appeared in other connected cases, the Counsel for Petitioner is permitted to serve notice on the Counsel for the party. In all the cases notices shall be served within seven days from today. The served respondents shall file Vakalatnama within seven days thereafter.

The office to put up the comprehensive list showing the parties who have appeared and those who have still remain unserved in each of the case. All the respondents who have already appeared are granted two weeks time for filing Counter Affidavit, if any as a last chance.

In SLP No.9703 and 9704/2009 I.A. Nos.3 to 4 and 7 to 8 shall be listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber.

List the matter on 27.8.2010.

(SUNIL THOMAS)
Registrar

T