

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6668-6732 OF 2000

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY,N.D. & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

JT.ACTION COMMT.ALLOT.OF SSF.FLATS &ORS
(With appln(s) for directions)

Respondent(s)

With

I.A. No.4(For directions in C.A.No.6699/2000

With

C.A.No.6733/2000, C.A. No.6666/2000,C.A. No.6667/2000,SLP(C) No.25385/2005(With prayer for interim

relief),SLP(C) No.1003/2006(With prayer for interim relief and office report),SLP(C) No.8033/2006(With

office report), SLP(C) No.13512/2006, SLP(C) No.8262/2006

Date: 02/08/2007 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BEDI

For Appellant(s)/ Mr.Sunil Gupta, Sr.Adv.

Respondent(s)

Mr. Gopal Shankarnarayananana, Adv.

Ms. Indu Malhotra,Adv.

Mr.S.K. Rungta, Adv.

Mr.A.P.Dhamija, Adv.

Mr. Madhu Sudan Bhayana, Adv.

Mrs. Pratibha Jain, Adv.

Mr.V.Shekar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. C.S.Ashri, Adv.

Mr.S. Ganesh,Adv.

Mr. Pradeep K.Dubey, Adv.

Mr.Sudhir K.Sajwan, Adv.

Mr. Zangpo Sherpa, Adv.

Mr.Abhigya,Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Madhu Moolchandani, Adv.(NP)

SLP(C) 1003/06

Mr. Maninder Singh, Adv.

Ms. Pratibha M.Singh,Adv.

Ms. Surbhi Mehta, Adv.

Mr.Gaurav Sharma,Adv.

Mr. Sumeet Bhatia, Adv.

Mr. Debasis Misra, Adv.

...2/-

-2-

Mr. Kuldip Singh,Adv.

for DDA

Ms. Anysuya Selvan,Adv.

Ms. S. Janani,Adv.

Mr.Rajeev Sharma, Adv.

C.A.No.6717/2000

Mr.S.K.Rungta, Adv.

Mr.A.P. Dhamija, Adv.

Ms. Madhusudan Bhayana, Adv.

Mr.Sarad Kumar Singhania, Adv.

Mr.E.C.Agrawala, Adv.
Mr.Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.
Mr.Rishi Agrawala,Adv.
Mr.Gaurav Goel, Adv.
Mr.Amit Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Neha Aggarwal, Adv.

Mr.T.L. Garg, Adv.

Mr. J.S. Attri, Adv.

Mr. Surya Kant, Adv.

Mr. Subramanyam Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Kamlendra Mishra, Adv.

Respondent-in-person(NP)

Ms. Kum Kum Sen, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Mr. Sunil Gupta, learned senior counsel resumed his arguments at 10.35 a.m. and concluded at 11.50 a.m. Then Mr. V. Shekhar, learned counsel made his reply submissions till 12.20 p.m. Thereafter, Mr. S.K.Rungta, learned counsel made his reply submissions till 12.30 p.m.

Civil Appeal No.6699/2000 is disposed of in terms of the signed order. Arguments concluded. Judgment reserved.

[Meenu Sethi] [Pushap Lata Bhardwaj]
Court Master

Court Master

Signed order in C.A.No.6699/2000 is placed on the file
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A.No.4
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO.6699/2000

Delhi Development

t
Authority ...Appellant

Vijay Kumar Minocha

Versus
...Respondent

ORDER

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we are of the opinion that as this case is not similar to the ones which have fallen for our consideration and it appears that under some misconception the High Court had taken up this matter along with the batch of matters.

The impugned judgment so far as this case is concerned cannot be sustained. The impugned judgment is set aside and the matter is remitted to the High Court for consideration thereof on merits. It is open to the parties to pray for

interim order, if any occasion arises therein.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.....J.

[S.B. SINHA]

.....J.

[H.S. BEDI]

New Delhi,
August 2, 2007