

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).13614-13617/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 19/07/2011 in WP No. 11492/2006, WP No.18969/2006, WP No.16733/2009 and WP No.16889/2009 of The HIGH COURT OF MADRAS AT CHENNAI)

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

S.RITA MARY

Respondent(s)

(with prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH

S.L.P.(Civil) No. 21008 of 2012
(With office report)

S.L.P. (Civil) No. 21012 of 2012
(with office report)

S.L.P. (Civil) No. 21011 of 2012

S.L.P.(Civil) Nos. 21009-21010 of 2012
(with office report)

S.L.P.(Civil) No. 7905 of 2013
(with office report)

Date: 25/10/2013 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVA KIRTI SINGH

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P.P. Malhotra, A.S.G.
 Mr. T.A. Khan, Adv.
 Mr. Abhinav Mukherji, Adv.
 Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.
 Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. V. Raghavachari, Adv.
 Mr. G Balaji, Adv.
 Ms. Mahalakshmi Pavani, Adv.
 Ms. Meghna, Adv. for
M/s. Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co.

Mr. Jayanth Muth Raj, Adv.
Mrs. Malavika J., Adv.
Mr. Sureshan P. ,Adv

Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Mr. P.P. Malhotra, learned Additional Solicitor General, submits that the order passed by this Court on 16.03.2012 in S.L.P. (Civil) CC No. 2388 of 2012 [S.L.P. (Civil) No. 9918 of 2012] is confined to the peculiar facts of that case as is noted in that order. He further submits that there are large number of employees affected

by the impugned order(s).

Leave granted.

Hearing expedited.

Interim order to continue, in the meanwhile.

We record and accept the statement of Mr. P.P. Malhotra, learned Additional Solicitor General, that employment of those who are already working shall not be disturbed during the pendency of the appeals.

| (Rajesh Dham)
| Court Master

| | (Saroj Saini)
| | Court Master

| |
| |