

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal(C) No(s). 17066-17067/2011

(Against the judgment and final order dated 17.3.2011 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras in Second Appeal No. 553 of 2009 and M.P. No. 2 of 2011)

M.N.RANGASAMY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

K.GOVINDASAMY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)
(For final disposal)

Date : 06/10/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Petitioner(s)

None

For Respondent(s)

Mr. P.R. Kovilan, Adv.
Ms. Geetha Kovilan, Adv.
Mr. Naresh Kumar, Adv. (Not present)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Reference may be made to Order dated 11.8.2015, which
reads as under :-

"Service of notice for making fresh
arrangement is awaited.

Put up after two weeks to enable the
petitioner for making fresh arrangement.

In the event nobody appears on behalf of
the petitioner on the next date of hearing,
then appropriate orders shall be passed by this
Court."

Fresh notice was also sent, which was served upon the petitioners.

Today also, none appears on behalf of the petitioner.

We have perused the record which shows that a suit for specific performance, filed by the respondent, was decreed. As against that, the petitioner preferred an appeal which was dismissed. A second appeal filed by the petitioner was also dismissed.

The instant special leave petitions were adjourned on the basis of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner that there is a possibility of settlement, but nothing happened.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, we do not find any merit in these special leave petitions, which are, accordingly, dismissed.

[INDU POKHRIYAL]
COURT MASTER

[SUKHBIR PAUL KAUR]
A.R.-CUM-P.S.