

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION XIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 4733/2010

SHARIFUNNISA BEGUM

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

V. VENKATESWARA RAO & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

Date : 16/10/2014 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr. S. Madhusudhan Babu,Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Rekha Pandey,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

What gets revealed from the perusal of the office report is that neither the appellant nor the respondents have filed the statement of case, although they were notified to do so by notice dated 9.5.2011 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides

that if the respondent who has entered appearance does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same. Therefore, in view of the rule position cited above no further opportunity for filing the statement of case is warranted to be given to the parties. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

The learned counsel for the appellant has stated that the title of the matter has not been reflected correctly in the Office Report/Cause List. Office shall rectify the database accordingly.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar