

REGISTRAR COURT NO. 2

LISTED ON: 2-12-2014

ITEM NO. 99

SECTION XIIA
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL Nos. 4276-4277 of 2011.

MASOOD ALI KHAN (D) THRU LRS. AND ORS. ...APPELLANTS
-VERSUS-
B. CHINNA NARASIMHA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

These are the appeals by Special Leave from the Judgment and order dated 15th April, 2010 of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad in Second Appeal Nos. 410 and 411 of 2008.

It is submitted that there are 87 respondents in C.A. No. 4276 of 2011 and 98 respondents in C.A. No. 4277 of 2011. Common respondent no. 1 and 69 in C.A. No. 4276 of 2011 and 15 and 64 in C.A. No. 4277 of 2011 are represented by Ms. Rekha Pandey, Advocate and common respondent no. 71 in both the appeals is represented by Mr. B.K. Satija, Advocate.

It is further submitted that respondent nos. 2 to 9, 28 to 33, 36, 39, 40, 42 to 47, 57 to 59, 62, 66 to 68, 70, 75, 79, 80 and 82 to 87 in C.A. No. 4276 of 2011. Respondent Nos. 3 to 5, 8, 12 to 14, 16, 17, 36 to 41, 44, 46, 47, 48, 50 to 55, 63, 65 to 70, 75, 78 to 85, 87 to 89, 91 to 93, 95, 96 and 98 in C.A. No. 4677 of 2011 have been served with the notice but they have not entered appearance before this Court so far. Common respondent nos. 55 and 56 in C.A. No. 4276 of 2011 and 1 and 2 in C.A. No. 4277 of 2011 have been served through paper publication

It is also submitted that the following respondents have been deleted from array of parties vide Court's Order dated 15th April, 2014:

1.	Common Respondent nos. 10, 11 & 12 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 18, 19, 20 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
2.	Common Respondent nos.13 to18, 20-22 in C.A no. 4276 of 2011 and 21 to 26 and 28 to 30 in CA No. 4277 of 2011

3.	Common Respondent no. 23 in CA no. 4276 of 2011 and 31 in CA No. 4277 of 2011
4.	Common Respondent Nos. 24 to 27 in C.A no. 4276 of 2011 and 32 of 35 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
5.	Common Respondent No. 34 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 42 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
6.	Common Respondent No. 35 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 43 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
7.	Common Respondent No. 37 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 45 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
8.	Common Respondent No. 41 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 49 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
9.	Common Respondent No. 48 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 56 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
10.	Common Respondent No. 49 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 57 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
11.	Common Respondent No. 50 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 58 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
12.	Common Respondent No. 51 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 59 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
13.	Common Respondent No. 52 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 60 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
14.	Common Respondent No. 53 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 61 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
15.	Common Respondent No. 54 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 62 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
16.	Common Respondent No. 60 and 61 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 6 & 7 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
17.	Common Respondent No. 63, 64 and 65 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 9, 10, 11 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
18.	Common Respondent No. 72 in both the Appeals
19.	Common Respondent No. 73 in both the Appeals
20.	Common Respondent No. 74 in both the Appeals
21.	Common Respondent No. 76 in both the Appeals
22.	Common Respondent No. 77 in both the Appeals
23.	Common Respondent No. 78 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 94 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
24.	Common Respondent No. 81 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 97 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
25.	Common Respondent No. 90 in C.A No. 4277 of 2011

26.	Common Respondent No. 19 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 27 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
27.	Common Respondent No. 38 in C.A No. 4276 of 2011 and 86 in C.A no. 4277 of 2011
28.	Common Respondent No. 54 in C.A No. 4277 of 2011

Service is complete.

Common Original Record of the High Court and Lower Court's in connected CA Nos. 4837-4838 of 2010 are available for the reference of the Hon'ble Court.

It is lastly submitted that counsel for the appellant has filed statement of case but Counsel for the appearing respondents have not filed statement of case and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case and so far as appellant is concerned, it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

The above-mentioned matters are listed before Ld. Registrar Court as "Pre-Final Hearing" matter with this office report.

Dated this the 1st day of December, 2014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

1. Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate, Ch. No. 129
2. Ms. Rekha Pandey, Advocate, Ch. No. 113
3. Mr. B. K. Satija, Advocate, Ch. No. 88

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR