

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 7105-7106/2010

MEHRUNNISA BEGUM & ORS.  
t(s)

Appellan

VERSUS

B.CHINNA NARASIMHA & ORS.  
nt(s)

Responde

O R D E R

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that responde  
nt  
no. 13 in C.A. No. 7105 of 2010 is same as respondent no. 21 in  
C.A. No. 7106 of 2010, respondent no. 19 in C.A. No. 7105 of 2010  
is same as respondent no. 27 in C.A. No. 7106 of  
2010 and  
respondent no. 33 in C.A. No. 7105 of 2010 is same as  
respondent  
no. 41 in C.A. No. 7106 of 2010 and they have expired. However, no  
steps have been taken so far for bringing their  
legal  
representatives on record. Learned counsel for the  
appellants  
states that the appellants have not been able to  
get the  
particulars thereof.  
In these circumstances, the appeals qua above  
mentioned  
respondents stand abated.

Signature Not Verified

....J.  
Digitally signed by

.....

ikri)  
NEETU KHAJURIA  
Date: 2015.02.16  
18:02:52 IST  
New Delhi;  
Reason:

(A.K. S

January 27, 2015.

2

ITEM NO.31  
(Revised)

COURT NO.13

SECTION XIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

Civil Appeal No(s). 7105-7106/2010

MEHRUNNISA BEGUM & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

B.CHINNA NARASIMHA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(Office report on default)

Date : 27/01/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI  
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s) Mr. Hemal K. Sheth, Adv.  
Mr. A. Venayagam Balan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Madhusudhan Babu, Adv.  
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

Mr. Manoj C. Mishra, Adv.

Mrs. Prabha Swami, Adv.

Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that respondent no. 13 in C.A. No. 7105 of 2010 is same as respondent no. 21 in C.A. No. 7106 of 2010, respondent no. 19 in C.A. No. 7105 of 2010 is same as respondent no. 27 in C.A. No. 7106 of 2010 and respondent no. 33 in C.A. No. 7105 of 2010 is same as respondent no. 41 in C.A. No. 7106 of 2010 and they have expired. However, no steps have been taken so far for bringing their legal representatives on record. Learned counsel for the appellants states that the appellants have not been able to get the particulars thereof.

In these circumstances, the appeals qua above mentioned respondents stand abated.

3

Learned counsel for the appellans submits that respondent no. 69 in C.A. No. 7105 of 2010 as same as respondent no. 62 in C.A. No. 7106 of 2010. However, notice issued to the said respondents in both the appeals has been received back unserved with the remarks "Not residing at the given address".

Learned counsel for the appellant is, therefore, directed to furnish the fresh and correct addresse of the respondent no. 69 in CA No. 7105/2010 and respondent no. 62 in C.A. No. 7106 of 2010 within four weeks.

It is also submitted that respondent no. 82 in C.A. No. 7105/2010 as same as respondent no. 78 in C.A. No. 7106/2010. Learned counsel further informs that this respondent has filed C.A. No. 4734 of 2010 which is tagged along with the present appeals.

In view of the aforesaid circumstances, appellant is directed to serve respondent no. 82 in C.A. No. 7105/2010 and respondent no. 78 in C.A. No. 7106/2010 through the counsel Mr. Vipin Kumar Jain.

(Ashwani Kumar)  
Court Master

(Sneh Lata Sharma)  
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)