

ITEM NO.14

COURT NO.13

SECTION XIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. 6/2014 in Civil Appeal No(s). 4736/2010

SHAREEFUNNISA BEGUM

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

B.CHINNA NARASIMHA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For deletion of the name of respondent)

Date : 27/01/2015 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI  
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s) Mr. S.Madhusudhan Babu, Adv.  
Dr. Kailash Chand,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Manoj C. Mishra,Adv.  
Mrs. Prabha Swami,Adv.  
M/s. Lawyer S Knit & Co.  
Ms. Rekha Pandey,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The appellant has filed application for deletion of the names of respondent nos. 21 and 68 from the array of the parties. The prayer made in I.A. No. 6 of 2014 is, accordingly, allowed at the risk of the appellant.

Office report points out that respondent no. 89 has died but no steps have been taken for bringing his legal representatives on record. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that in spite of best efforts, the appellant has not been able to ascertain the particulars of legal representatives of deceased respondent no. 89 or their whereabouts.

In these circumstances, the appeal qua respondent no. 89 stands abated.

Having regard to the oral explanation given by the learned counsel for the appellant, issue fresh notice to respondent no. 64 at the same address again.

(Ashwani Kumar)  
Court Master

(Sneh Lata Sharma)  
Court Master

(Signed order is palced on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4736 OF 2010

SHAREEFUNNISA BEGUM

APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

B.CHINNA NARASIMHA & ORS.

RESPONDENT (S)

O R D E R

Office report points out that respondent no. 89 has died but no steps have been taken for bringing his legal representatives on record. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that in spite of best efforts, the appellant has not been able to ascertain the particulars of legal representatives of deceased respondent no. 89 or their whereabouts.

In these circumstances, the appeal qua respondent no. 89 stands abated.

.....J.  
(A.K. Sikri)

New Delhi;  
January 27, 2015.

