

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.A.SAYEED

TRANSFER CASE (CIVIL.) NO. 52 OF 2010

INDIAN OIL CORPN. LTD. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS. Respondent(s)

WITH

T.C.(C) NO.54/2010
T.C.(C) NO.53/2010
T.C.(C) NO.56/2010
T.C.(C) NO.51/2010
T.C.(C) NO.55/2010
T.C.(C) NO.57/2010
T.C.(C) NO.50/2010
T.C.(C) NO.25/2011
T.C.(C) NO.26/2011
T.C.(C) NO.3/2012

Date: 10/05/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
Mr Keerthi Kiran Kota, Adv.
Mr A.D.N.Rao, Adv.
Mr Shidhurt Das, Adv.
Ms Tatini Basu, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms Rojalin Pradhan, Adv.
Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
Mr Avijit Bhushan, Adv.
Mr. Bhargava V. Desai ,Adv
Ms Neha Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. E.C. Agrawala ,Adv
Mr T Mahipal, Adv.
Mr S.S.Jauhar, Adv.
Mr Annam D.N.Rao, Adv.
M/s Fox Mandal & Co.
Mr Rabindra Kumar Mohanty, Adv.
Ms Sushma Suri, Adv.

-2-

Item No.6

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard the ld. Counsels for the petitioner and

Mr Bhargava V Desai, the ld. Counsel for the respondent. I

have gone through the report as well as through the relevant rule.

On the last date this Court was pleased to pass an order of calling for certificate of service from the concerned High Court and at the same time, the concerned parties were directed to file the paper books.

It seems that some confusion came to be created because of this direction as order did not specifically mention as to whether it was the petitioner or the respondent who was to furnish the paper books on record.

Considering the provisions contained in the rules and after hearing the ld. Counsel for the respondent, it would be appropriate to direct the petitioner in all these transfer cases to file the paper books on record and at the same time direct the concerned High Courts to transmit the original record of the cases. Order to be complied with accordingly.

List again on 17.7.2012.

(M.A.SAYEED)
REGISTRAR

hj