

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).21579-21580/2004

(From the judgement and order dated 07/11/2003 in MCC No. 372/2004 & WP No. 4717/2001 of The HIGH COURT OF M.P AT JABALPUR)

RAMESH CHANDRA SHARMA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP, correction of designation of petitioner No. 5, exemption from filing O.T.,and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 10677-10678 of 2005

[SUNDERLAL KADWE & ORS. V. STATE OF M.P. & ORS.]

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 15270 of 2006

[MEER JAHID ALAI & ORS. V. STATE OF M.P. & ORS.]

(With appln. for exemption from filing OT, prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 21590-21591 of 2004

[RAMESHWAR PRASAD AMLVIYA & ORS. V. STATE OF M.P. & ORS.]

(With applns. for transposition of respondent Nos. 6,9, 10 & 11, exemption from filing OT, prayer for interim relief and office report)

[FOR FINAL DISPOSAL]

Date: 30/07/2009 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B. SINHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK VERMA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. A.P. Shroti, Adv.

Mr. Akshat Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Inderjeet Yadav, Adv.

Mr. P.P. Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vikas Upadhyay, Adv.

Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

The petitioners had not been a parties either before the tribunal or before the High court. It appears that nobody has appeared on behalf of the private respondents. We, therefore, are of the opinion that the petitioners must serve notice upon the private respondents once again as we think they are the persons who would be affected, if the matter is decided in favour of the petitioners.

Liberty to mention after service is complete.