

Leave granted.

Mr. Mukul Rohtagi learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant by drawing our attention to the earlier decision of this Court submitted that the appellant, who is a complainant, is entitled to be heard before passing orders on the application for anticipatory bail sought at the instance of accused respondents. Inasmuch as the stand of the complainant was that he was not allowed to project his case before the High Court and in view of the allegations, we feel that the High Court has to hear the bail application afresh. On this ground without expressing anything on merits of the claim of both parties we set aside the impugned order and request the High Court to restore the Criminal Bail

...2/-

:2:

Application No.623 of 2011 to its file and dispose of the same as early as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from today after affording opportunity of being heard to all the parties including the complainant.

The appeal is disposed of on the above terms.

.....J.
(P. SATHASIVAM)

.....J.
(Dr.B.S. CHAUHAN)

New Delhi,
July 06, 2011.