

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).16728/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 04/05/2012 in WP No.853/2012 of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

DIST.COLLECTOR SATARA & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MANGESH NIVRUTTI KASHID

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment, permission to file lengthy list of dates and prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO.18388 of 2012

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and prayer for interim relief and office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 11274 of 2012

(With appln.(s) for permission to file SLP, prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO.18951 of 2012

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO.18976 of 2012

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment, exemption from filing O.T. and prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 03/07/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. R.F. Nariman, S.G.
Mr. Uday U. Lalit, Sr. Adv.
Mr. V.A. Gangal, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay V. Kharde, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Joshi, Adv.
Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, AORFor Intervenor Mr. Shanti Bhushan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Kartik Seth, Adv.In SLP CC 11274/12 Mr. Vinay Navare, Adv.
Ms. Abha R. Sharma, AORIn SLP 18388/12 Mr. Pravin Satale, Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Shankar Dvivedi, AORFor Respondent(s) Mr. H.M. Inamdar, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Sabharwal, AOR
Mr. Ratna A. Gaikwad, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Permission to file the special leave petition is granted.

Issue notice in all the matters and let all of them be taken up for consideration together.

The matters are made returnable after six weeks, within which time service should be completed on the respondents.

Let these matters be listed on the returnable date.

When the matters were taken up for consideration, Mr. Shanti Bhushan, learned senior counsel, intervened and submitted that various writ petitions have been disposed of by the common judgment of the High Court, which has been impugned in these proceedings and that special leave petitions have not been filed in all such writ petitions. In fact, it is submitted by him that he represents some of the writ petitioners, whose matters are not before this Court in these proceedings and that such writ petitioners intend to file intervention applications in these matters.

Leave is given to such parties to file such applications, if so advised.

The respondents would be entitled to file their respective counter affidavits to the special leave petition within four weeks. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

In the meantime, the stay granted by the High Court of its own judgment, shall continue.

(Chetan Kumar)

Court Master | |(Juginder Kaur)

Assistant Registrar | |