

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).20760/2010

B.THIPPANNA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHIVAPPA & ANR

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and prayer for interim relief))

Date: 27/09/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mrs. Vaijayanthi Girish,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Nobody is present for the petitioner. Notices could not be served by the Postal Authorities and same has returned back with the remarks "No such address". It seems that in original litigation respondents were original plaintiffs and their address is same and common in all the respondents. Let there be fresh notice, which is to be confirmed by dasti mode through concerned trial court. Such Court is specifically directed to confirm the service given by affixing same if it could not be served into in

-2-

Item No. 86

due course and they file process fee as provided under the Code of Civil Procedure.

It seems that addresses of the respondents herein, who were appellants before the High Court are same before the High Court where they were represented by an advocate. Therefore, the endorsement by Postal Authority is seems to be incorrect. Registry has to address letter to the concerned Postal Authority asking correctness of such endorsement.

List on 10.11.2010.

(S.G. SHAH)
REGISTRAR

kk