

SECTION-IV

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 9499 – 9517 OF 2014

Surinder Kumar Etc. Etc.

....Appellants

VERSUS

State of Haryana & Anr. Etc. Etc.

...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING

The Office Report is prepared as per Circular No.F.41/Judl./2008 dated 17th December, 2008:-

(a) **CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 9499 TO 9504, 9506, 9510, 9511, 9513, 9514 AND 9516 OF 2014**

There are two Respondents in C.A. Nos. 9499 to 9504, 9506, 9510, 9511, 9513, 9514 and 9516 of 2014 and they are represented by Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 9505, 9507 AND 9509 OF 2014

The sole Respondents in C.A. Nos. 9499 to 9504, 9506, 9510, 9511, 9513, 9514 and 9516 of 2014 are represented by Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 9512 AND 9515 OF 2014

Initially, there were three Respondents. Respondent No. 3 has been deleted from the array of the parties at the risk of the petitioners vide Hon'ble Court's (in Chamber) Order dated 21.08.2014 passed in I.A. Nos. 51-53. Now, there are two Respondents in the appeals and they are represented through Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Advocate.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 9508 OF 2014

Initially, there were five Respondents. Respondent No. 3 has been deleted from the array of the parties at the risk of the petitioners vide Hon'ble Court's (in Chamber) Order dated 21.08.2014 passed in I.A. Nos. 51-53.

Now, there are four Respondents in the appeal. Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are represented through Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 have been served with Notice at SLP stage but have not chosen to enter appearance.

Service is complete in all the Appeals.

- (b) Original record in the appeal has been returned to concerned High Court vide office order dated 03.12.2014.
- (c) Not applicable.
- (d) Not applicable.
- (e) No application for substitution is pending.
- (f) Counsel for Appellants as well as Respondents have not filed Statement of Case despite letter dated 08.07.2015. In terms of Rule 32, Sub Rule-2 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the appellant have adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and do not desire to file any further statement of case. If the Respondents have not filed statement of case within the stipulated time as provided in Sub Rule-1 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the respondents do not desire to lodge statement of case in the appeal.
- (g) Not applicable.

Dated this the 27th day of January, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

1. Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, Advocate on record for appellant.
294, Gujranwala Town, Part 3, Delhi -09
2. Mr. S.K. Visen, Advocate on record for appellant.
Library 1, Supreme Court of India, New Delhi

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR