

ITEM NO.63

COURT NO.2

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E                      C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s)            for    Special        Leave        to    Appeal        (Civil)            No(s).16308-  
16309/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 04/05/2010 in                      LPA No.  
576/2010 in CWP No. 5962/2009 and interim order dated 11.5.2010 in  
CWP No. 5962/2009 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH)

HARJINDER SINGH SANDHA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DARSHAN SINGH &amp; ANR

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 24/05/2010                      These    Petitions            were        called            on        for        hearing  
today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. PRASAD  
(VACATION BENCH)

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.P.S.Patwalia, Sr.Adv.  
Mr.Aman Preet Singh Rahi, Adv.  
Mr.Tushar Bakshi, Adv.  
Mr. Ashok K. Mahajan,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

These petitions are directed against orders dated 04.05.2010  
and 11.05.2010 passed by the Division Bench of Punjab & Haryana  
High Court in L.P.A. No.576 of 2010 and the learned Single Judge  
in C.W.P. No.5962 of 2009.

We have heard Mr. P.S. Patwalia, learned senior counsel  
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and perused the record.

2

Although, the direction contained in order dated 11.05.2010  
passed by the learned Single Judge prima facie appears                      to be  
unusual, we do not consider it appropriate to interfere with the  
impugned orders at this stage because the writ petition filed by  
respondent No.1 questioning the promotion of the petitioner to the  
post of Director, Animal Husbandry and for issue of a direction  
to the official respondents to promote him on that post is pending

adjudication.

We have no doubt that when the writ petition filed by respondent No.1 is taken up for hearing, the High Court will consider the factual as well as legal objections already raised by the petitioner or which may be raised by him hereinafter and pass appropriate order without being influenced by the directions contained in the interim orders passed earlier.

With the above observation, the special leave petitions are disposed of.

(Satish K.Yadav)  
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)  
Court Master