



ITEM NO.65

COURT NO.13

SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRIMINAL) Diary No(s).
16506/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated j16-09-2025 in CRA No. 298/2025 16-09-2025 in CRLMB No. 557/2025 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

R

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE NCT OF DELHI

Respondent(s)

IA No. 120302/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 120304/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING/CURING THE DEFECTS

IA No. 120303/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 120301/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 18-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Neha Kumari, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Vats, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Singh, Adv.

Ms. Pooja, Adv.

Ms. Aastha, Adv.

Ms. Supriya, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Vaibhav, Adv.

Mr. Tejasvi Goel, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Arun Mishra, AOR

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Satya Darshi Sanjay, ASG
Mr. S.N. Terdal, Adv.
Mr. Akshat Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Kamal Kishore, Adv.
Mr. Khushal Kolwar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

Issue notice, returnable in eight weeks.

Appellant who has been arraigned as an accused for the offences punishable under Sections 323/34 of Indian Penal Code and Section 75 of the Juvenile Justice Act, 2015, was convicted for two years and one year respectively and directed to undergo the said imprisonment and ordered the sentence to run concurrently. The said order has been confirmed by the appellate court.

Hence, the present special leave petition.

Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the appellant and the learned ASG appearing for the State, we noticed that the total imprisonment to which the appellant has been sentenced to is two years and one year for the offence punishable under Section 323/34 of Indian

Penal Code, Section 75 of the Juvenile Justice Act, 2015, respectively. As on date, the appellant has already undergone one year four months and taking into consideration the fact that she is a lady, we are of the considered view that the appellant requires to be enlarged on bail.

Accordingly, it is ordered that the appellant be released on bail by the jurisdictional court by suspending the sentence imposed on her. The jurisdictional trial court would be at liberty to impose such condition as it deems fit.

Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail is allowed.

Counter affidavit, if any, to the appeal, be filed.

(IRAMNAZ)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER (NSH)