

W.P.(Cr1.)No. 413 OF 2000
ITEM No.30

Court No.10

SECTION X
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Cr1.) No. 413/2000

JAGDISH SINGH YADAV

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for exemption from filing O.T.exemption from
filing O.T. & Office Report)

Date : 09/04/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.B. SHAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. VARIAVA

For Petitioner (s) Mr. L Nageshwar Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Pankaj Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aftab Rasheed, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh K. Giri,Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Chander Shekhar Ashri,Adv. (NP)
Mr. Pramod Swarup,Adv.
Ms. Pareena Swarup, Adv.
Dr. IP Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Writ petition is dismissed in terms of the signed oarder.

.SP1

(D.L. Chugh)
Court Master

(K.K. Chadha)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file

.PA

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) NO.413 OF 2000@@
CC

Jagdish Singh Yadav

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of UP & Ors.

....Respondents

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Considering the facts stated in the counter-affidavit we do not think that this is a fit case for interference under Article 32 of the Consitution. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed. However, it would be open to the petitioner to approach the High Court for appropriate reliefs.

.SP1

.....J.@@
BB
(M.B. SHAH)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBB

.....J.@@
BB
(S.N. VARIAVA)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

New Delhi,
April 9, 2001