

**SECTION XII**  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPEAL Nos. 10478-10498 OF 2011**

The Secretary/Correspondent & Anr. etc. ...Applicants  
Versus  
S. Willson & Ors. etc. ...Respondents

**WITH**  
**CIVIL APPEAL Nos. 10506-10510 OF 2011**

The Correspondent, Schaffter Higher  
Secondary School & Ors. ...Appellants  
Versus  
A.M. Sankey John & Anr. etc. ...Respondents

**WITH**  
**PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 7538-7540**  
**OF 2015**

The Secretary/Correspondent  
Sarah Tucker College (Autonomous) ...Petitioner  
Versus  
V. Kavitha Elzie & Ors. ...Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 9th December, 2015, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"Learned counsel representing the appellants states, that the arguing counsel for the appellants is at Chennai, and has not been able to come back on account of the prevalent flood situation in Chennai. She accordingly, seeks an adjournment.**

**In the interest of justice, list after four weeks."**

Thereafter, the matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 4th February, 2016, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"List the matter in the first week of March, 2016."**

**Civil Appeal Nos. 10478-10498 of 2011**

It is submitted that there are 27 respondents in these matters. Respondent Nos. 1, 4, 7, 8, 12 to 22, 24, 26 and 27 are represented by Mr. Romy Chacko, Advocate. Respondent nos. 9 and 25 are represented by Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna and Mr. B. Srinivasan, Advocates respectively. In respect of respondent Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 11 and 23, service of notice is complete at Special Leave Petition stage, but no one has entered appearance so far.

Service of notice is complete on behalf of all the respondent.

It is further submitted that Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Advocate for respondent in Civil Appeal No. 10496 of 2011 has on 3rd September, 2014 filed an affidavit alongwith Annexure R-I and the same has been placed with Appeal paper books.

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 10506-10510 OF 2011**

It is submitted that there are eight respondents in these appeals.

Respondent Nos. 1, 3, 4, 6 and 8 are represented by Mr. Romy Chacko, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2, 5 and 7 are represented by Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Advoccate.

Service is complete on behalf of all the respondents.

It is further submitted that counsel for the parties have not filed statement of case and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the parties do not desire to file statement of cases and they have adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of cases.

**SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CIVIL) NOS. 7538-7540 OF 2015**

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2015, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"Delay condoned.  
Issue notice.  
Tag with Civil Appeal Nos.  
10478-10498 of 2011 arising out of  
SLP(C) Nos. 12504-12524 of 2010."**

Thereafter, the matters above-mentioned were listed before the Registrar's Court on 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2015, when the following order was passed:-

**"Respondent no.1 has already filed counter affidavit. Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are not yet served. Id. Counsel for the petitioner to take fresh steps within four weeks time. Notices thereafter be issued Service upon respondent no.4 is complete but none has entered appearance.**

**List again on 19.11.2015."**

It is submitted that there are four common respondents. Respondent no. 1 is represented by Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Advocate, and he has also filed counter affidavit and copy of the same has already been included in SLP paper books. A.D. card duly signed has been received from respondent No. 4, but no one has entered appearance so far. In respect of respondent nos. 2 and 3, neither A. D. cards nor unserved covers containing notices have been received so far.

It is further submitted that Mr. R. Chandrachud, counsel for the petitioner, has not taken any fresh steps for effecting service upon respondent Nos. 2 and 3, vide Registrar's Order dated 1st September, 2015.

Service of notice is not complete on respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this common office report.

Dated this, the 12<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO: Mr. Romy Chacko, Advocate.  
Mr. R. Chandrachud, Advocate.  
Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Advocate.  
Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Advocate  
Mr. Rauf Rahim, Advocate  
Mrs. Rachna Gupta, Advocate  
Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate  
Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ac