

ITEM NO.62

COURT NO.7

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s)(Criminal) No(s).117/2026

DEEPIKA YADAV

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 87790/2026 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION

IA No. 87793/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 87174/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 20-03-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Siddharth R. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Mrigank Prabhakar, AOR
Mr. Sankalp Kochar, Adv.
Mr. Siddhant Kochar, Adv.
Mr. Aman Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Shantanu Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Uddaish Palya, Adv.
Ms. Surbhi Saxena, Adv.
Ms. Astha Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. This is a Writ Petition preferred by the wife of the petitioner of SLP (Crl.) No.2176/2026.
2. The SLP referred to above has been preferred by the husband seeking anticipatory bail in connection with the FIR in question.

3. In the said SLP, the following order dated 27.02.2026 was passed. The same reads thus:-

"1. When this matter was taken up for hearing in the first session, Mr. Siddharth R. Gupta, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that his clients are ready and willing to execute reconveyance deed/ cancellation deed without prejudice to their rights and contentions by way of abundant caution.

2. Mr. Gupta submitted that the conveyance deed/ cancellation deed will be executed by the wife of the petitioner. We are informed that the wife of the petitioner is also in jail in connection with the very same offence.

3. The learned counsel has also come to know that the original first informant, namely, Ms. Asha Jain, has passed away.

4. In such circumstances, if the original first informant has passed away, the legal heirs be impleaded as the respondents in the present petition.

5. The learned counsel submitted that he would see to it that the reconveyance deed is kept ready to be executed by his wife in favour of the legal heirs of Ms. Asha Jain (original owner).

6. Issue notice, returnable on 07.04.2026.

7. In view of the statement made by the learned counsel, we order interim release of the petitioner on bail, subject to the terms and conditions that the Trial Court may deem fit to impose."

4. The only idea with which we protected the husband was to see that the wife, i.e., the petitioner in the present Writ Petition executes the reconveyance deed.

5. We are informed that the reconveyance deed is ready. The same has been annexed along with the present Writ Petition.

6. A submission is made by the learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner that within a period of 10 days from

today, the reconveyance deed shall be executed in favour of the legal heirs of Ms. Asha Jain (original owner).

7. Issue notice to enable the writ petitioner to execute the necessary reconveyance deed as referred to above.

8. We direct that during this period of 10 days, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner.

9. We make it clear that within next 10 days, if the reconveyance deed is not executed, both the petitions will stand dismissed, i.e., the SLP preferred by the husband as well as the present Writ Petition.

10. List on 07.04.2026 on top of the board.

(HARPREET KAUR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(POOJA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)