

\234

C.A.No. 353 OF 2001
ITEM No.3

Court No. 4

SECTION XI
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No 4-6 In Civil Appeal No.353/2001

RAVINDRA SINGH & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(For directions and impleadment)

I.A. Nos. 7-8 (Appln. for intervention and impleadment)

Date : 24/03/2003 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.B. SHAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR

For Appellant (s) Mr. M.L. Varma, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Joseph Pookkatt, Adv.
Mr. Prasenjit Keswani, Adv.
Mr. Manu Beri, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, Adv.
Mr. K.V. Venkataraman, Adv.
Mr. K.V. Vijaya Kumar, Adv.
Mr. S. Muralidhar, Adv.
Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, Adv.
Ms. Manisha Verma, Adv.
Mr. J.M. Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned counsel for the State of U.P. submits that the State of U.P. would try to regularise the adhoc/daily wage appointees who are in service for a number of years and that said regularisation would be subject to the final decision in the appeal. In view of the aforesaid statement, learned counsel for the applicant is not pressing the applications. Hence the applications stand disposed of accordingly. It is made clear that no further contempt proceedings should be initiated by the parties.

KALYANI (JANKI BHATIA)
COURT MASTER