

Crl.A.No. 1291 OF 1998  
ITEM No.109

Court No. 10

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No. 1291 of 1998

Thanunatha Babu

...

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

M.Sevvilam Parithi & Ors.

...

Respondent (s)

(With office report)

With Crl.A. 119/99 and Crl.A. 1034-1035/99 (With appln.(s) for stay  
and with office report)

Date : 25/03/2004 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N.AGRAWAL  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE AR.LAKSHMANAN

For Appellant (s) Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

Mr. M.A.Krishna Moorthy, Adv.

Mr. M.A.Chinnasamy, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. A.V.Rangam, Adv.

Mr. A.Ranganadhan, Adv.

Mr. Buddy A.Rangandhan, Adv.

Mr. K.K.Mani, Adv.

Mr. R.Neduraman, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Crl.A 1291/98 Put up this matter for 8.4.04 to enable the  
parties to explore the possibilities of compromise. The matter remain Part-Heard.

Crl.A. 119/99 The criminal appeal is dismissed in terms of  
the signed order.

Crl.A. 1034-1035/99 Let this matter not to be placed before  
kshmanan.

Hon'ble Dr. Justice AR. La

(Shashi Sareen)  
Court Master

(Kanwal Singh)  
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 119 OF 1999

K.BALASIGAMANI  
...  
Appellant (s)

Versus

THE EGMORE BENEFIT SOCIETY LTD.  
...  
Respondent (s)

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

We do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned judgment in the exercise of powers under Article 136 of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed. It is needless to say that neither this order nor the impugned order rendered by the High Court shall in any manner prejudice cases of either party during trial. As the complaint was filed in the year 1998 the trial court will take all possible steps to conclude the trial without any delay.

.....J.

(B.N.AGRAWAL)

.....J.

(DR.AR. LAKSHMANAN)

NEW DELHI,  
MARCH 25, 2004