

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 384 OF 2001

MANOHARAN & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF TAMIL NADU

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for impleading party and office report)

Date: 26/04/2007 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. V.J. Francis,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. V.G. Pragasam,Adv.

Ms. Indu Malhotra ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Learned Advocate for the Petitioners states that necessary

documents are already filed with the petition itself and no additional documents are necessary to file at this stage.

It is also disclosed that matter is listed in the Weekly List, in that case office has to list the matter before the Hon'ble Court as per date fixed in such list.

(S.G. Shah)
Registrar

MG

DITEM NO.4

COURT NO.2

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 384 OF 2001

MANOHARAN & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF TAMIL NADU

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for impleading party and office report)

Date: 26/04/2007 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. V.J. Francis, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

Ms. Indu Malhotra , Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Learned Advocate for the Petitioners states that necessary

documents are already filed with the petition itself and no additional

documents are necessary to file at this stage.

It is also disclosed that matter is listed in the Weekly List, in that case office has to list the matter before the Hon'ble Court as per date fixed in such list.

(S.G. Shah)

Registrar

MG