

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S).7272 OF 2013

STATE OF ORISSA & ANR . . . APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

URMILA BUILDER P.LTD.& ORS. . . RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Having heard the learned counsels for the parties and on perusal of the relevant materials, we are of the view that the order of the High Court terminating the proceedings under Section 25 of the Orissa Hindu Religious Endowments Act, 1951 was not justified. The adjudication ought to have been permitted to be brought to its logical conclusion as the aggrieved party would always have all remedies open in law available to it. We, therefore, interfere with the order of the High Court and direct that the proceedings under Section 25 of the Orissa Hindu Religious Endowments Act, 1951 be recommenced.

All contentions available in law would be open to the contesting parties.

The civil appeal is disposed of in the above terms.

.....,J.
(RANJAN GOGOI)

.....,J.
(PRAFULLA C. PANT)

.....,J.
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

NEW DELHI
SEPTEMBER 21, 2016

ITEM NO.104

COURT NO.6

SECTION XIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 7272/2013

STATE OF ORISSA & ANR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

URMILA BUILDER P.LTD.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 21/09/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAFULLA C. PANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, ASG
 Mr. Shibashish Misra, Adv.
 Mr. Ashish Kumar Sinha, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ashok Panigrahi, Adv.
 Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Tejaswi Kumar Pradhan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the
signed order.

(Neetu Khajuria)
Court Master

(Asha Soni)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file.)