

ITEM NO.6

COURT NO.7

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.7219/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 12-12-2025 in CRMBA No. 3621/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

RAMCHANDRA OMPRAKASH BISHNOI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

Respondent(s)

Date : 24-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Nishant Bishnoi, AOR  
Ms. Srishti Prabhakar, Adv.  
Mr. Raghav Khanna, Adv.  
Mr. Dhananjay Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Utsav Singhal, Adv.  
Mr. Ankit Tiwari, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR  
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.  
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.  
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Chitransha Singh Sikarwar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. The petitioner has been denied regular bail by the High Court in connection with Crime Register No. 233 of 2023 registered with Indira Nagar Police Station, Nashik City, State of Maharashtra for the offence punishable under Sections 363, 364, 364A, 120B, 201, 341, 342, 368, and 386 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short, "the IPC") respectively and Section 3 read with Section 25 of the Arms Act 1959, respectively.

2. The filing of the charge sheet and the committal culminated in Sessions Case No.392/2023 pending as on date in the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Nashik, Maharashtra.

3. We take notice of the fact that there are eight accused persons involved in the alleged crime. Four are reported to be absconding till this date. The other four including the present petitioner are in judicial custody.

4. We also take notice of the fact that the prosecution is of the year 2023. The petitioner is in judicial custody past almost three years. Till this point of time, the prosecution has been able to examine just two witnesses. According to the learned counsel appearing for the State, the prosecution intends to examine as many as 42 witnesses.

5. While we do not undermine the seriousness of the crime, rather the gravity of the alleged offence, we should not overlook the fact that the accused has a right of speedy trial as enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution.

6. We make it clear that if the Trial Court is not able to complete the trial within a period of one year from today, it shall be open for the petitioner to once again pray for bail before the Trial Court on the ground of delay as well as infringement of his right to have a speedy trial under Article 21 of the Constitution.

7. With the aforesaid, this petition stands disposed of.

8. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(VISHAL ANAND)  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POOJA SHARMA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)