

SUPR EME COUR T OF I ND I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA 4-66/2007 &amp; I.A.No.... in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2685 OF 2007

STATE OF ORISSA &amp; ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

DIPTI ROY Respondent(s)  
(For impleadment as party respondent and office report)

Date: 03/08/2009 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CYRIAC JOSEPHFor Appellant(s) Mr. Janaranjan Das,Adv.  
Mr. Swetaketu Mishra,Adv.  
Mr. P.P. Nayak,Adv.Mr. Shambhu Prasad Singh,Adv.  
Mrs. Punam Kumari,Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. Jana Kalyan Das,Adv.  
Mr. Shambhu Prasad Singh,Adv.  
Mr. Prem Sunder Jha,Adv.  
Ms. Manjula Gupta,Adv.  
Mr. Prem Sunder Jha ,Adv  
Mr. Y. Prabhakara Rao ,Adv  
Mr. Anukul Chandra Pradhan,Adv.  
Mr. Shiv Sagar Tiwari ,Adv

Mr. V.K. Sidharthan ,Adv

Ms. Punam Kumari ,Adv

Mr.Rutwik Panda ,Adv

Mr. Manoj Kumar Das,Adv.

Mr. Sibho Shankar Mishra,Adv.

...2/-

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

Several interlocutory applications have been filed in Civil Appeal No.2685 of 2007 by several applicants praying that they may be impleaded as parties in the appeal.

It is their case that their writ petitions were disposed of finally by the High Court and that they are

equally interested in the appeals pending before this Court. We do not see any reason to allow the applications for impleadment, but there is no reason why they cannot be heard in the main appeal. Accordingly, the application for impleadment is modified and we direct that the applicants will be entitled to intervene and may make submissions at the time of final hearing of the appeal. However, we also observe that since the writ petitions have been decided in favour of the applicants, in these I.As, the State may be well advised to file separate special leave petitions. The applications for impleadment are disposed of.

Let the hearing of the appeal be expedited.

[Madhu Bala ]  
Sr.PA

[Juginder Kaur]  
Court Master