

ITEM NO.46

COURT NO.5

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).20843/2010

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 28/01/2010 in MP No. 1/2009 in WA No. 17093/2009 passed by the High Court of Madras)

R. PAUL RAJ

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DY. REGR. OF COOP. SOCIETIES & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With interim relief and office report)

Date : 08/12/2014 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MISHRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr.A.Mariarputham, Sr.Adv.
Ms.Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr.Yusuf Khan, Adv.
For M/s Arputham, Aruna & Co., Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Subramaniam Prasa, AAG
Mr. R. Nedumaran, AOR(NP)

Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner was found responsible for having verified and recommended two loans in the sum of Rs.66,810/- and Rs.15,000/-, and was accordingly, made liable for a total sum of Rs.81,810/-.

It is the submission of the learned senior counsel for the petitioner, that the petitioner had adopted an express stance, that the petitioner had neither verified nor recommended the loan of Rs.66,810/-, but that the same had been verified and recommended

by his predecessor. It is also submitted, that the aforesaid assertion made by the petitioner, was not disputed in the pleadings filed on behalf of the respondents.

Learned Additional Advocate General appearing on behalf of the respondents, seeks a short adjournment so as to enable him to verify, the factual position noticed hereinabove.

List on 12.12.2014.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
COURT MASTER

(RENUKA SADANA)
COURT MASTER