

o

C.A.No. 11275 OF 1995

.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp

L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

ITEM No.112

COURT No. 2

SECTION IIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No.11275 of 1995.@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Ratan Lal Agarwal

Appellant

VERSUS

Commissioner of Income Tax & Ors.

Respondents

(With office report)

Date : 31/01/2001 This appeal was called on for hearing today

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.P. BHARUCHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.SANTOSH HEGDE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE Y.K. SABHARWAL

For Appellant (s) Mr. Kavin Gulati,Adv.
Mr. E.C. Agrawala,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Dr. V. Gaurishankar,Sr.Adv.
Mr. S. Ganesh,Adv.
Mr. Ajay Sharma,Adv.
Mr. B.V.B. Das,Adv.
for Ms. Sushma Suri,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I..T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

The civil appeal is dismissed.

No order as to costs.

(T.I. Rajput)
Court Master

(S.Sen Gupta)
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Civil Appeal No.11275 of 1995@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Ratan Lal Agarwal ...Appellant (s)

Versus~

Commissioner of Income Tax & Ors.Respondent (s)

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCCCCCC

....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP2

We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and perused the judgment and order under appeal. No interference therewith is called for. It cannot be said that the Commissioner of Wealth Tax acted outside the scope of his discretion.

The civil appeal is dismissed.
No order as to costs.

.SP1

.....J.
(S.P. Bharucha)@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J.
(N.Santosh Hegde)@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J.
(Y.K. Sabharwal)@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

New Delhi,
January 31, 2001.