

\$
ITEM NO.111

REGISTRAR COURT.1

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 642 OF 2004

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.K GUPTA

CHANDRESH KUMAR

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P.
(With office report)

Respondent(s)

Date: 30/04/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Lakhan Singh Chauhan, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Pradeep Purohit, Adv.
Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the
appellant that the file is not being traced consecutively for
the last two dates of hearing.

Registry to trace out the file positively.

List again on 8.7.2010.

(M.K. GUPTA)
Registrar

rd
\$
ITEM NO.111

REGISTRAR COURT.1

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 642 OF 2004

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M.K GUPTA

CHANDRESH KUMAR

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P.
(With office report)

Respondent(s)

Date: 30/04/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Lakhan Singh Chauhan, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Pradeep Purohit, Adv.
Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the
appellant that the file is not being traced consecutively for
the last two dates of hearing.

Registry to trace out the file positively.

List again on 8.7.2010.

(M.K. GUPTA)
Registrar

rd