

ITEM NO.9

COURT NO.11

SECTION XV

S U P R E M E                      C O U R T   O F       I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2010

(CC 2199/2010)

(From the judgement and order dated 29/10/2009 in                      DBSAW No.  
669/1999 in SBCWP No. 2171/1998 of The HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN AT  
JAIPUR)

URBAN IMPROVEMENT TRUST

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VIDHYA DEVI &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

With I.A.1 (C/delay in filing SLP)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 6226 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 08/03/2010                      These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Petitioner(s)                      Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya, Adv.

For Respondent(s)                      Dr.A.M.Singhvi, Sr.Adv.  
Mr.Gopal Jain, Adv.  
Mr.Swadeep S.Hora, Adv.  
Mr.Nitin Mishra, Adv.  
Mr.Kaushik Mishra, Adv.  
Mr.Naveen Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. S.K. Verma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

At the hearing, we were inclined to issue notice but learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents-caveators submitted that the court should not exercise jurisdiction under Article 136 because the petitioner is guilty of making interpolation in document marked as Exhibit P-6.                      He produced xerox copy of exhibit

P-6 to show that in the first line of second paragraph of the

2

agreement, there is no date but in the document filed before the court, the date has been written as 16.10.1980 and, that too, appears to be overwritten.

Learned counsel for the petitioner requests for an adjournment to produce the original document before the court.

As requested by the learned counsel, list the case on 29.03.2010.

( Satish K.Yadav )  
Court Master

( Phoolan Wati Arora )  
Court Master