

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Review Petition No. 1065/2000 in S.L.P.(Cr1.) No. 3128/1999

Mohd. Rafiq and anr. Petitioner

Versus

State of Andhra Pradesh Respondent
(With Appln(s). for c/delay, hearing of review petition in
open court & office report)

Date : 07/11/2000 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.T. THOMAS
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. SETHI

By Circulation

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
UPON perusing the papers, the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.

.SP2
Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay.
.SP1

(N.K. GOEL) (H.K. BHATIA)@@
BB
COURT MASTER COURT MASTER@@
BB
(Signed order is placed on the file)

.PA
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION NO. 1065 OF 2000@@
EE

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (Cr1.) NO. 3128 OF 1999@@
EE

Mohd. Rafiq and anr. Petitioner

Versus

State of Andhra Pradesh

..... Respondent

O R D E R@@
EEEEEEEEEE

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP2

There is an inordinate delay of 322 days in filing this review petition. The explanation offered for condonation of delay is neither satisfactory nor reasonable. The application seeking condonation of delay is, therefore, rejected. Petition for review is accordingly dismissed on the ground of delay.

.SP1

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.....J.@@
BB
(K.T. THOMAS)@@
BB

NEW DELHIJ.@@
BB
NOVEMBER 7,2000 (R.P. SETHI)@@
BB
.PA

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO. 1065 OF 2000@@
EE

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (Crl.) NO. 3128 OF 1999@@
EE

Mohd. Rafiq and anr.

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Andhra Pradesh

..... Respondent

O R D E R@@
EEEEEEEEEE

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

.SP2

There is an inordinate delay of 322 days in filing this review petition. The explanation

