

**SECTION IV**  
**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA**  
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 6233 AND 6287 OF 2014**

State of Haryana & Ors.

...Appellants

-VERSUS-

Deem Dayal etc.

..Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT ON PRE-FINAL HEARING**

Office Report is prepared as per Circular No.F.41/Judl./2008 dated 17th December, 2008:-

- (a) There is sole Respondent in both the Appeals and they are represented by Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav and Ms. Nidhi, Advocates respectively.
- (b) Original Record has not been requisitioned from High Court.
- (c) Not applicable.
- (d) Not applicable.
- (e) No application for substitution is pending.
- (f) Neither Counsel for Appellant nor Counsel for the Respondent in the appeals have filed Statement of case. In terms of Rule 32, Sub Rule-2 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as Statement of case, and does not desire to file any further statement of case. If the Respondent has not filed statement of case within the stipulated time as provided in Sub Rule-1 of S.C.R. 2013, it is presumed that the respondent does not desire to lodge statement of case in the appeal.
- (g) Not applicable.

Dated this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015.

**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

Copy to:

1. Ms. Naresh Bakshi, Advocate  
120, New Lawyers Chamber, SCI, New Delhi.
2. Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Advocate  
120, New Lawyers Chamber, SCI, New Delhi.
3. Ms. Nidhi, Advocate, Advocate  
113, New Lawyers Chamber, SCI, New Delhi.

**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**