

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).16495/2010

AJIT SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UDHAM KAUR & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief )

Date: 06/12/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. S. Janani,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Dr. Kailash Chand,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Issue fresh notice.

Dasti service is allowed.

It is permitted to be served through the standing  
counsel.

Process fee and spare copies to be filed before  
13.12.2010.

If not filed list before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers  
for non-prosecution.

If filed, issue notice as per above order.

List again on 7.2.2011.

After passing such order the learned Advocate, Mr Ajit

Kumar appearing on behalf of Dr Kailash Chand, Advocate-on-

Item No.63

record has pointed out that though registry has recorded their  
Caveat in this matter and that registry has addressed a letter  
dated 31.5.2010 to them, registry has not disclosed their name

for the respondent when matter was listed before the Court on 1.10.2010 even though their name was so disclosed when matter was listed on 1.9.2010.

It is his statement that since original respondent No.3 died in the month of May 2010 i.e., after the impugned order dated 23.2.2010, they are entitled to file such caveat and registry should not have taken such objection.

Such issue is to be resolved either by the Registrar (Judl.) who is taking care of filing.

They have to take appropriate steps.

(S.G.SHAH)  
Registrar

hj