

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.3

SECTION XIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 7105-7106/2010

MEHRUNNISA BEGUM & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

B.CHINNA NARASIMHA & ORS.
(office report on default)

Respondent(s)

Date : 11/07/2016 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s) None

For Respondent(s) Mr. S. Madhusudhan Babu, Adv.
for Dr. Kailash Chand, AOR(NP)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Office report on default dated 28.4.2016, inter alia,
records as under:

"Common Respondent Nos. 10 to 12, 14, 16 to 18, 21 to 23, 34, 39, 40, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51 to 53, 54, 59, 60, 61, 62, 71 to 73, 75 to 77, 80 in CA No. 7105 of 2010 and Respondent Nos. 1, 6 to 9, 18 to 20, 22, 24 to 26, 29 to 31, 42, 47, 48, 55, 56 to 61, 71 to 73, 75, 76, 93 and 96 in CA No. 7106 of 2010 have been served through paper publication but no one has entered appearance on their behalf so far."

In view of the above office report, such of the respondent who have been duly served, but have failed to enter appearance, are ordered to be proceeded against ex-parte.

Office report dated 28.4.2016, inter alia, also records
as under:

7106 of 2010, Respondent No. 67 in CA No. 7105 of 2010 & Respondent No. 14 in 7106 of 2010, Respondent No. 68 in CA No. 7105 of 2010 & Respondent No. 15 in 7106 of 2010, Respondent No. 70 in both the appeals, Respondent No. 78 in CA No. 7105 of 2010 & Respondent No. 94 in 7106 of 2010, Respondent No. 79 in CA No. 7105 of 2010 & Respondent No. 95 in 7106 of 2010 have been served with the notice but they have not entered appearance before this Court so far."

A perusal of the above office report reveals, that despite service the respondents, noticed hereinabove, have failed to enter appearance. All the respondents mentioned in the office report are also directed to be proceeded against ex-parte.

Last of all, the office report noticed as under:

"Service is not complete on Respondent No. 82 in C.A. No 7105 of 2010 and Respondent No. 78 in C.A. No. 7106 of 2010. Respondent Nos. 15 & 63 in CA No. 7105 of 2010 and Respondent Nos. 23 & 10 in CA No. 7106 of 2010."

The unserved respondents depicted in the office report be served afresh, within four weeks. Dasti process, in addition, is also permitted.

(Renuka Sadana)
Court Master

(Parveen Kumar)
AR-cum-PS