

ITEM NO.22

COURT NO.11

SECTION XIIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s) .4731-4732/2010

T.RAVI & ANR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

B.CHINNA NARASIMHA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(Office report on default and application for substitution)

Date : 10/11/2014 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s) M/s. Lawyer S Knit & Co,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. D. Bharat Kumar, Adv.
Mr. T. Baskar Gowtham, Adv.
Mr. Abhijit Sengupta,Adv.
Mr. A. Venayagam Balan,Adv.
Mr. B. K. Satija,Adv.
Mrs. Anjani Aiyagari,Adv.
Ms. Rekha Pandey,Adv.

Ms. Uttara Babbar,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeals against Respondent No.26 in Civil Appeal No.4731 and Respondent No.34 in Civil Appeal No.3742 of 2010 are dismissed in terms if the signed order.

Post the matter before appropriate Bench for appropriate orders.

(Gulshan Kumar Arora)
Court Master

(Mala Kumari Sharma)
Court Master

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NOS.4731-4732 OF 2010

T.RAVI & ANR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

B.CHINNA NARASIMHA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Learned counsel for the appellant is not present. Learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 1 and 3 are present.

Application to bring the LRs of Respondent No.26 in Civil Appeal No.4731 of 2010 and Respondent No.34 in Civil Appeal Nos.4732 of 2010 is filed along with an application for condonation of delay in filing the application for substitution. But the application for substitution is not maintainable without an application for setting aside the abatement.

Hence, the appeals against Respondent No.26 in Civil Appeal No.4731 of 2010 and Respondent No.34 in Civil Appeal No.3742 of 2010 are dismissed.

Post the matter before an appropriate Bench for appropriate orders.

.....,J.
(V. Gopala Gowda)

New Delhi
November 10, 2014.