

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 5741-5742 OF 2008

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

DLF UNIVERSAL LTD.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

BRIG.MADAN SINGH & ORS
(With appln(s) for substituted service)
with
C.A.NO.3553/2006
(with office report)

Respondent(s)

Date: 03/09/2012 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Mallika Joshi, Adv.
Mr. Rajan Narain, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Kielie Nakhro, Adv.
Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.
Mr. Gagan Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, Adv.
Mr.Senthil Jagadeesan, Adv.
Mr. Sagar Singhal, Adv.
Mrs. Priya Puri ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeals which are otherwise ready for hearing and which were listed for final hearing were dragged further for confirmation of service upon some of the respondents, who wer initially served and represented by the learned Advocate-

Item No.44

-2-

on-Record, Mr. H.K. Puri. Now, because Mr. H.K. Puri is no more, Registry has to intimate the litigants, who were represented through Mr. H.K. Puri to make alternative arrangement. Unfortunately, during such process, though Ms.Priya Puri has appeared for most of the litigants for whom, Mr. H.K. Puri was appearing, she could not trace out respondent No.12 and, therefore, as on date, there is no representation on behalf of respondent No.12 after above position.

It is certain that respondent No.12 has never disclosed her better, correct or changed address on record, though she appeared through Mr. H.K. Puri. Therefore, the only available address of respondent No.12 - Ms. Veenu Rai in C.A.No.3553/2006 on record is the same, as disclosed in the cause title. Hence, let there be a fresh notice for making alternative arrangement by respondent No.12, which is to be forwarded to the District Court, Saket with the specific direction to confirm service by the Process Server, even by affixing the same at the given address, if it could not be served in due course. In that case, Process Service has to file proper report/affidavit, as per law, without fail. Since this will be a notice for making alternative arrangement, no

process fee or no additional copies are required to be provided by the appellant.

Registry has to send the notice to the District Court, Saket before 8.9.2012, without fail.

District Court, Saket is requested to confirm service at the earliest and to forward their report on or before 25.9.2012.

List again on 25.9.2012.

			(S.G. SHAH)	
			REGISTRAR	

rd