

>
ITEM NO.22

COURT NO.5

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
IA no. 1 and 2 in CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 3036 OF 2004

KARTICK CHANDRA MONDAL & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

BADAL KUMAR DAS & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing appeal, APPEAL AGAINST REGISTRAR'S
ORDER and office report)

Date: 11/03/2013 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR
(In Chambers)

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Anurag Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Reena Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Vijay Lakshmi, Adv.
Mr. Gautam Dass, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. R.K. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. S.K. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

IA Nos. 1 and 2 are disposed of in terms of the signed
order.

(NAVEEN KUMAR)
COURT MASTER

(S.S.R. KRISHNA)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

IA nos. 1 and 2
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 3036 OF 2004

KARTICK CHANDRA MONDAL & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

O R D E R

Subsequent to the passing of the order dated 25.02.2013 which was signed on the same date, it is brought to my notice that certain inaccurate statements came to be recorded in the said order viz. Sh. Anurag Pandey, Advocate entered appearance on behalf of only first Appellant but not on behalf of the remaining Appellants whereas it appears now that even by that date, appearance was entered by the same counsel on behalf of all the appellants. In the circumstances, the order dated 25.02.2013 is recalled.

It also appears from the record that defects pointed out by the Registry now stands cured.

Delay in filing the appeal against the Registrar's order is condoned. Order dated 19.10.2012 passed by the learned Registrar (Judicial-I) is set aside.

-2-

Accordingly, IA No. 1 and 2 are disposed of.

.....J.
(J. CHELAMESWAR)

NEW DELHI
MARCH 11, 2013