ÄITEM NO.62 COURT NO.3 SECTION IVA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).5400/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 02/02/2011 in WP No. 315/1911 of The HIGH COURT OF M.P AT GWALIOR)

RAJEEV GANDHI PROUDYOGIKI VISHWAVIDYALAY Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BHARTIYA VIDYA MANDIR SHIKSHA SAMITI &OR Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)
WITH I.A.NO.1 (Appln. for impleadment as party respondent)

Date: 28/03/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s) Mr. A.K. Chitale, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Niraj Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Vikrant Singh Bais, Adv
Mr. Sumit Kumar Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anoop G. Choudhari, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ashok Mathur,Adv.

For AICTE Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Navin Prakash, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

On 7.3.2011, we had directed the petitioner to implead the Council of Architecture as respondent. In pursuance of it, the petitioner has filed I.A. No.1/2010 to implead Council of Architecture as a party.

The said I.A. is allowed and the petitioner is permitted to implead Council of Architecture as the fourth respondent. In the cause title, State of Madhya Pradesh and AICTE are both shown as respondent No.2. The State of Madhya Pradesh being respondent No.2, AICTE shall be shown as respondent No.3.

.....2.

Notice to the fourth respondent on the SLP. Petitioner to serve Council of Architecture by dasti also.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 submitted that it has also filed a SLP No.8443/2011 challenging the order of the High Court. The said petition is ordered to be listed alongwith this SLP.

(Ravi P. Verma)
Court Master

(M.S. Negi)
Court Master