

ITEM NO.38

COURT NO.4

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO. 300 OF 2010

SAKEENA & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MASITULLAH

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay

Date: 27/07/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Apurb Lal, Adv.
Ms. Kumari Alka, Av.
Ms. Susmita Lal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The transfer petition is allowed.

[Usha Bhardwaj]
Court Master

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CRL) NO. 300 OF 2010

| Sakeena & Anr. |

|...Petitioners |

Versus

|Masitullah |

|...Respondent |

O R D E R

The respondent though duly served notice from this Court has not chosen to contest the above transfer petition.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

We have gone through the grievance expressed by the petitioner and we accept the same. Therefore, the Complaint Case No.360 of 2008 titled as "Masitullah vs. Sakeena & Anr." pending before the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nagina at Bijnour (U.P.) is ordered to be transferred to the Court of District Judge Saket, New Delhi.

The District Judge is directed either to decide himself or assign it to a competent Court having jurisdiction.

After transfer of the petition, the transferee court is directed to take all endeavour either for amicable settlement or for early decision in the main matter without unnecessary adjournments.

The transfer petition is allowed accordingly.

.....J.
(P. SATHASIVAM)

.....J.
(RANJAN GOGOI)

New Delhi,
July 27, 2012.