

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 5311/2013

URMILA DEVI & ORS. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

JAGDISH CHANDRA ROY Respondent(s)

Date : 26/02/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr. Chandra Prakash, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Abhijeet Sinha, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report is that Id.counsel for the appellants and the Ld.counsel for the respondent No.1 have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by letter dated 26.09.2014 of this Registry. The respondent Nos.2

-9 have been deleted from the array of parties by order dated 1.09.2014 of the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition

Signature Not Verified for seeking special leave

Digitally signed by Sushma Kumari Bajaj to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to Date: 2015.02.28 10:51:07 IST Reason:

file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file

..2
ITEM NO.116 -2-

statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e.

35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB