

and egress to its own property in Survey No.618/B1 G3. It is accordingly the contention of the learned senior counsel for the petitioner, that the petitioner would be satisfied if the right of ingress and egress to his property is ordered to be preserved.

Learned counsel for the respondents acknowledges the aforesaid prayer made at the behest of the learned counsel for the petitioner, subject to the condition, that the petitioner shall not claim any title or ownership over Survey No.618/A of Sri A.Ramasamy Mudaliar Nagar, Thiruvottiyur village, Chennai, described above, and that, he shall not make any encroachment over the same and remove the existing encroachment, if any.

Consequent upon the submissions advanced at the hands of the learned counsel for the respondents, learned senior counsel for the petitioner undertakes, that the petitioner shall not claim title or ownership over Survey No.618/A of Sri A.Ramasamy Mudaliar Nagar, Thiruvottiyur village, Chennai, and further, that the petitioner shall not make any encroachment thereon, and will remove any encroachment already made by the petitioner over the same.

In view of the above, subject to the condition expressed above, the solitary right of the petitioner with reference to Survey No.618/A of Sri A.Ramasamy Mudaliar Nagar, Thiruvottiyur village, Chennai would be to use the same for ingress and egress only to its own property in Survey No. 618/B1 G3.

The special leave petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
AR-CUM-PS

(RENUKA SADANA)
COURT MASTER