

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C) NO. 1049/2009

Sagar Chowdhury

..Petitioner

versus

Bar Council of India and others

..Respondents

O R D E R

We find no justification whatsoever for accepting the instant transfer petition, especially in the light of the fact, that the petitioner has since completed the LL.B course, for which the writ petition was originally filed before the High Court at Calcutta.

The instant transfer petition is accordingly dismissed.

.....CJI  
[JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR]

NEW DELHI;  
JANUARY 17, 2017

.....J.  
[DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C) NO. 537-543/2010

Bar Council of India

..Petitioner

versus

Raghavendra Rao V. and others

..Respondents

O R D E R

It is not a matter of dispute, that all the respondents have not been served in the present transfer petitions, despite the fact, that more than six years have elapsed, since the filing of these petitions.

In view of the above, we find no justification for retaining these petitions on the Board.

The transfer petitions are accordingly dismissed.

.....CJI  
[JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR]

NEW DELHI;  
JANUARY 17, 2017

.....J.  
[DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C) NO. 1520-1528/2011

Bar Council of India

..Petitioner

versus

M.S.Siddalinga Swamy and others

..Respondents

O R D E R

It is not a matter of dispute, that all the respondents have not been served in the present transfer petitions, despite the fact, that more than five years have elapsed, since the filing of these petitions.

In view of the above, we find no justification for retaining these petitions on the Board.

The transfer petitions are accordingly dismissed.

.....CJI  
[JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR]

NEW DELHI;  
JANUARY 17, 2017

.....J.  
[DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C) NOS. 4-14/2012

Bar Council of India

..Petitioner

versus

Anto and others Etc.

..Respondents

O R D E R

It is not a matter of dispute, that all the respondents have not been served in the present transfer petitions, despite the fact, that more than four years have elapsed, since the filing of these petitions.

In view of the above, we find no justification for retaining these petitions on the Board.

The transfer petitions are accordingly dismissed.

.....CJI  
[JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR]

NEW DELHI;  
JANUARY 17, 2017

.....J.  
[DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C) NOS. 333-341/2012

Bar Council of India

..Petitioner

versus

Nagaraja and others

..Respondents

O R D E R

It is not a matter of dispute, that all the respondents have not been served in the present transfer petitions, despite the fact, that more than four years have elapsed, since the filing of these petitions.

In view of the above, we find no justification for retaining these petitions on the Board.

The transfer petitions are accordingly dismissed.

.....CJI  
[JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR]

NEW DELHI;  
JANUARY 17, 2017

.....J.  
[DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C) NOS. 1507-1511/2012

Bar Council of India

..Petitioner

versus

B.G.Ananthraju and others Etc.

..Respondents

O R D E R

It is not a matter of dispute, that all the respondents have not been served in the present transfer petitions, despite the fact, that more than four years have elapsed, since the filing of these petitions.

In view of the above, we find no justification for retaining these petitions on the Board.

The transfer petitions are accordingly dismissed.

.....CJI  
[JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR]

NEW DELHI;  
JANUARY 17, 2017

.....J.  
[DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD]

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 1049/2009

SAGAR CHOWDHURY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BAR COUNCIL OF INDIA & ORS.  
(with office report)

Respondent(s)

WITH

T.P. (C) No. 537-543/2010 (with Office Report)

T.P. (C) No. 1520-1528/2011 (with Office Report)

T.P. (C) No. 4-14/2012 (with Office Report)

T.P. (C) No. 333-341/2012 (with Office Report)

T.P. (C) No. 1507-1511/2012 (with Office Report)

Date : 17/01/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. T. V. George, Adv.  
In TC 1049/2009For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad, Adv.  
In other TPsFor Respondent(s) Mr. Pijush K. Roy, Adv.  
for Mr. Rajan K. Chourasia, AOR

Mr. Mishra Saurabh, Adv.

Mr. Ankit Kr. Lal, Adv.

Mr. R.S. Hegde, adv.

Mrs. Farhat Jahan Rehmani, Adv.

for Mr. Rajeev Singh, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E RThe transfer petitions are dismissed in terms of the  
signed orders.(Renuka Sadana)  
Assistant Registrar(Parveen Kumar)  
AR-cum-PS

[Six signed orders are placed on the file]