

-IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
TRANSFER PETITION(C) NO. 1049/2009
Sagar Chowdhury ..Petitioner
versus

Bar Council of India and others ..Respondents

O R D E R

We find no justification whatsoever for accepting the instant transfer petition, especially in the light of the fact, that the petitioner has since completed the LL.B course, for which the writ petition was originally filed before the High Court at Calcutta.

The instant transfer petition is accordingly dismissed.

â |.....CJI

[JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR]

NEW DELHI; â |.....J.

JANUARY 17, 2017 [DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
TRANSFER PETITION(C) NO. 537-543/2010
Bar Council of India ..Petitioner
versus

Raghavendra Rao V. and others ..Respondents

O R D E R

It is not a matter of dispute, that all the respondents have not been served in the present transfer petitions, despite the fact, that more than six years have elapsed, since the filing of these petitions.

In view of the above, we find no justification for retaining these petitions on the Board.

The transfer petitions are accordingly dismissed.

â |.....CJI

[JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR]

NEW DELHI; â |.....J.

JANUARY 17, 2017 [DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
TRANSFER PETITION(C) NO. 1520-1528/2011
Bar Council of India ..Petitioner
versus

M.S.Siddalinga Swamy and others ..Respondents

O R D E R

It is not a matter of dispute, that all the respondents have not been served in the present transfer petitions, despite the fact, that more than five years have elapsed, since the filing of these petitions.

In view of the above, we find no justification for retaining these petitions on the Board.

The transfer petitions are accordingly dismissed.

â |.....CJI

[JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR]

NEW DELHI; â |.....J.

JANUARY 17, 2017 [DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
TRANSFER PETITION(C) NOS. 4-14/2012
Bar Council of India ..Petitioner
versus

Anto and others Etc. ..Respondents

O R D E R

It is not a matter of dispute, that all the respondents have not been served in the present transfer petitions, despite the fact, that more than four years have elapsed, since the filing of these petitions.

In view of the above, we find no justification for retaining these petitions on the Board.

Mr. Ankit Kr. Lal, Adv.
Mr. R.S. Hegde, adv.
Mrs. Farhat Jahan Rehmani, Adv.
for Mr. Rajeev Singh, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The transfer petitions are dismissed in terms of the
signed orders.

(Renuka Sadana) (Parveen Kumar)

Assistant Registrar

AR-cum-PS

[Six signed orders are placed on the file]